

The symmetrising trace conjecture for Hecke algebras

(joint work with C. Boura, E. Chavli & K. Karvounis)

Maria Chlouveraki

Université de Versailles

Complex reflection groups

Let V be a finite dimensional complex vector space.

Complex reflection groups

Let V be a finite dimensional complex vector space.

A **complex reflection group** is a finite subgroup of $GL(V)$ generated by *pseudo-reflections*, that is, non-trivial elements that fix a hyperplane pointwise.

Complex reflection groups

Let V be a finite dimensional complex vector space.

A **complex reflection group** is a finite subgroup of $GL(V)$ generated by *pseudo-reflections*, that is, non-trivial elements that fix a hyperplane pointwise.

Theorem (Shephard–Todd)

Let $W \subset GL(V)$ be an irreducible complex reflection group (i.e., W acts irreducibly on V). Then one of the following assertions is true:

- $W \cong G(de, e, r)$, where $G(de, e, r)$ is the group of all $r \times r$ monomial matrices whose non-zero entries are de -th roots of unity, while the product of all non-zero entries is a d -th root of unity.
- $W \cong G_n$ for some $n = 4, \dots, 37$.

Complex reflection groups

Let V be a finite dimensional complex vector space.

A **complex reflection group** is a finite subgroup of $GL(V)$ generated by *pseudo-reflections*, that is, non-trivial elements that fix a hyperplane pointwise.

Theorem (Shephard–Todd)

Let $W \subset GL(V)$ be an irreducible complex reflection group (i.e., W acts irreducibly on V). Then one of the following assertions is true:

- $W \cong G(de, e, r)$, where $G(de, e, r)$ is the group of all $r \times r$ monomial matrices whose non-zero entries are de -th roots of unity, while the product of all non-zero entries is a d -th root of unity.
- $W \cong G_n$ for some $n = 4, \dots, 37$.

We define the **rank** of W to be the dimension of V .

Braid groups and Hecke algebras

Let W be a complex reflection group.

Braid groups and Hecke algebras

Let W be a complex reflection group.

$$G_4 = \langle s, t \mid sts = tst, s^3 = 1, t^3 = 1 \rangle$$

Braid groups and Hecke algebras

Let W be a complex reflection group.

$$G_4 = \langle s, t \mid sts = tst, s^3 = 1, t^3 = 1 \rangle \quad B(G_4) = \langle s, t \mid sts = tst \rangle$$

Braid groups and Hecke algebras

Let W be a complex reflection group.

$$G_4 = \langle s, t \mid sts = tst, s^3 = 1, t^3 = 1 \rangle \quad B(G_4) = \langle s, t \mid sts = tst \rangle$$

$$\mathcal{H}(G_4) = \langle s, t \mid sts = tst, s^3 = as^2 + bs + c, t^3 = at^2 + bt + c \rangle$$

over $R_{G_4} = \mathbb{Z}[a, b, c^{\pm 1}]$.

Braid groups and Hecke algebras

Let W be a complex reflection group.

$$G_4 = \langle s, t \mid sts = tst, s^3 = 1, t^3 = 1 \rangle \quad B(G_4) = \langle s, t \mid sts = tst \rangle$$

$$\mathcal{H}(G_4) = \langle s, t \mid sts = tst, s^3 = as^2 + bs + c, t^3 = at^2 + bt + c \rangle$$

over $R_{G_4} = \mathbb{Z}[a, b, c^{\pm 1}]$.

$$G_5 = \langle s, t \mid stst = tsts, s^3 = 1, t^3 = 1 \rangle$$

Braid groups and Hecke algebras

Let W be a complex reflection group.

$$G_4 = \langle s, t \mid sts = tst, s^3 = 1, t^3 = 1 \rangle \quad B(G_4) = \langle s, t \mid sts = tst \rangle$$

$$\mathcal{H}(G_4) = \langle s, t \mid sts = tst, s^3 = as^2 + bs + c, t^3 = at^2 + bt + c \rangle$$

over $R_{G_4} = \mathbb{Z}[a, b, c^{\pm 1}]$.

$$G_5 = \langle s, t \mid stst = tsts, s^3 = 1, t^3 = 1 \rangle \quad B(G_5) = \langle s, t \mid stst = tsts \rangle$$

Braid groups and Hecke algebras

Let W be a complex reflection group.

$$G_4 = \langle s, t \mid sts = tst, s^3 = 1, t^3 = 1 \rangle \quad B(G_4) = \langle s, t \mid sts = tst \rangle$$

$$\mathcal{H}(G_4) = \langle s, t \mid sts = tst, s^3 = as^2 + bs + c, t^3 = at^2 + bt + c \rangle$$

over $R_{G_4} = \mathbb{Z}[a, b, c^{\pm 1}]$.

$$G_5 = \langle s, t \mid stst = tsts, s^3 = 1, t^3 = 1 \rangle \quad B(G_5) = \langle s, t \mid stst = tsts \rangle$$

$$\mathcal{H}(G_5) = \langle s, t \mid stst = tsts, s^3 = as^2 + bs + c, t^3 = dt^2 + et + f \rangle$$

over $R_{G_5} = \mathbb{Z}[a, b, c^{\pm 1}, d, e, f^{\pm 1}]$.

Braid groups and Hecke algebras

Let W be a complex reflection group.

$$G_4 = \langle s, t \mid sts = tst, s^3 = 1, t^3 = 1 \rangle \quad B(G_4) = \langle s, t \mid sts = tst \rangle$$

$$\mathcal{H}(G_4) = \langle s, t \mid sts = tst, s^3 = as^2 + bs + c, t^3 = at^2 + bt + c \rangle$$

over $R_{G_4} = \mathbb{Z}[a, b, c^{\pm 1}]$.

$$G_5 = \langle s, t \mid stst = tsts, s^3 = 1, t^3 = 1 \rangle \quad B(G_5) = \langle s, t \mid stst = tsts \rangle$$

$$\mathcal{H}(G_5) = \langle s, t \mid stst = tsts, s^3 = as^2 + bs + c, t^3 = dt^2 + et + f \rangle$$

over $R_{G_5} = \mathbb{Z}[a, b, c^{\pm 1}, d, e, f^{\pm 1}]$.

- We have $Z(B(W)) = \langle \zeta_W \rangle$.

Braid groups and Hecke algebras

Let W be a complex reflection group.

$$G_4 = \langle s, t \mid sts = tst, s^3 = 1, t^3 = 1 \rangle \quad B(G_4) = \langle s, t \mid sts = tst \rangle$$

$$\mathcal{H}(G_4) = \langle s, t \mid sts = tst, s^3 = as^2 + bs + c, t^3 = at^2 + bt + c \rangle$$

over $R_{G_4} = \mathbb{Z}[a, b, c^{\pm 1}]$.

$$G_5 = \langle s, t \mid stst = tsts, s^3 = 1, t^3 = 1 \rangle \quad B(G_5) = \langle s, t \mid stst = tsts \rangle$$

$$\mathcal{H}(G_5) = \langle s, t \mid stst = tsts, s^3 = as^2 + bs + c, t^3 = dt^2 + et + f \rangle$$

over $R_{G_5} = \mathbb{Z}[a, b, c^{\pm 1}, d, e, f^{\pm 1}]$.

- We have $Z(B(W)) = \langle \zeta_W \rangle$. In the examples, $\zeta_{G_4} = ststst$ and $\zeta_{G_5} = stst$.

Braid groups and Hecke algebras

Let W be a complex reflection group.

$$G_4 = \langle s, t \mid sts = tst, s^3 = 1, t^3 = 1 \rangle \quad B(G_4) = \langle s, t \mid sts = tst \rangle$$

$$\mathcal{H}(G_4) = \langle s, t \mid sts = tst, s^3 = as^2 + bs + c, t^3 = at^2 + bt + c \rangle$$

over $R_{G_4} = \mathbb{Z}[a, b, c^{\pm 1}]$.

$$G_5 = \langle s, t \mid stst = tstst, s^3 = 1, t^3 = 1 \rangle \quad B(G_5) = \langle s, t \mid stst = tstst \rangle$$

$$\mathcal{H}(G_5) = \langle s, t \mid stst = tstst, s^3 = as^2 + bs + c, t^3 = dt^2 + et + f \rangle$$

over $R_{G_5} = \mathbb{Z}[a, b, c^{\pm 1}, d, e, f^{\pm 1}]$.

- We have $Z(B(W)) = \langle \zeta_W \rangle$. In the examples, $\zeta_{G_4} = ststst$ and $\zeta_{G_5} = stst$.
- We have $B(W) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{H}(W)$, $\zeta_W \mapsto z_W$.

The Broué–Malle–Rouquier freeness conjecture

The Broué–Malle–Rouquier freeness conjecture

Theorem (since October)

The algebra $\mathcal{H}(W)$ is a free R_W -module of rank $|W|$.

The Broué–Malle–Rouquier freeness conjecture

Theorem (since October)

The algebra $\mathcal{H}(W)$ is a free R_W -module of rank $|W|$.

It has been proved for :

- the real reflection groups by Bourbaki;
- the complex reflection groups $G(de, e, r)$ by Ariki–Koike, Broué–Malle, Ariki;
- the group G_4 by Broué–Malle, Funar, Marin;
- the group G_{12} by Marin–Pfeiffer;
- the groups G_4, \dots, G_{16} by Chavli;
- the groups G_{17}, G_{18}, G_{19} by Tsuchioka;
- the groups G_{20}, G_{21} by Marin;
- the groups G_{22}, \dots, G_{37} by Marin, Marin–Pfeiffer.

The Broué–Malle–Michel symmetrising trace conjecture

The Broué–Malle–Michel symmetrising trace conjecture

Let \mathcal{B} be an R_W -basis for $\mathcal{H}(W)$.

The Broué–Malle–Michel symmetrising trace conjecture

Let \mathcal{B} be an R_W -basis for $\mathcal{H}(W)$.

Conjecture

There exists a linear map $\tau : \mathcal{H}(W) \rightarrow R_W$ that satisfies the following conditions:

- 1 τ is a symmetrising trace, that is, the matrix $A := (\tau(b_i b_j))_{b_i, b_j \in \mathcal{B}}$ is symmetric and invertible over R_W .

The Broué–Malle–Michel symmetrising trace conjecture

Let \mathcal{B} be an R_W -basis for $\mathcal{H}(W)$.

Conjecture

There exists a linear map $\tau : \mathcal{H}(W) \rightarrow R_W$ that satisfies the following conditions:

- 1 τ is a symmetrising trace, that is, the matrix $A := (\tau(b_i b_j))_{b_i, b_j \in \mathcal{B}}$ is symmetric and invertible over R_W .
- 2 When $\mathcal{H}(W)$ specialises to the group algebra of W , τ becomes the canonical symmetrising trace given by $\tau(w) = \delta_{1w}$ for all $w \in W$.

The Broué–Malle–Michel symmetrising trace conjecture

Let \mathcal{B} be an R_W -basis for $\mathcal{H}(W)$.

Conjecture

There exists a linear map $\tau : \mathcal{H}(W) \rightarrow R_W$ that satisfies the following conditions:

- 1 τ is a symmetrising trace, that is, the matrix $A := (\tau(b_i b_j))_{b_i, b_j \in \mathcal{B}}$ is symmetric and invertible over R_W .
- 2 When $\mathcal{H}(W)$ specialises to the group algebra of W , τ becomes the canonical symmetrising trace given by $\tau(w) = \delta_{1w}$ for all $w \in W$.
- 3 τ satisfies an extra condition, which makes it unique.

The Broué–Malle–Michel symmetrising trace conjecture

Let \mathcal{B} be an R_W -basis for $\mathcal{H}(W)$.

Conjecture

There exists a linear map $\tau : \mathcal{H}(W) \rightarrow R_W$ that satisfies the following conditions:

- 1 τ is a symmetrising trace, that is, the matrix $A := (\tau(b_i b_j))_{b_i, b_j \in \mathcal{B}}$ is symmetric and invertible over R_W .
- 2 When $\mathcal{H}(W)$ specialises to the group algebra of W , τ becomes the canonical symmetrising trace given by $\tau(w) = \delta_{1w}$ for all $w \in W$.
- 3 τ satisfies an extra condition, which makes it unique.

It has been proved for :

- the real reflection groups by Bourbaki;
- the complex reflection groups $G(de, e, r)$ by Bremke–Malle, Malle–Mathas;
- the groups $G_4, G_{12}, G_{22}, G_{24}$ by Malle–Michel (G_4 also by Marin–Wagner).

The idea of the algorithm for G_4, \dots, G_8

The idea of the algorithm for G_4, \dots, G_8

We have $|G_4| = 24$, $|G_5| = 72$, $|G_6| = 48$, $|G_7| = 144$, $|G_8| = 96$.

The idea of the algorithm for G_4, \dots, G_8

We have $|G_4| = 24$, $|G_5| = 72$, $|G_6| = 48$, $|G_7| = 144$, $|G_8| = 96$.

STEP 1: Let $n \in \{4, \dots, 8\}$. Take a basis \mathcal{B}_n for each $\mathcal{H}(G_n)$ and define a linear map τ on $\mathcal{H}(G_n)$ by setting $\tau(b) := \delta_{1b}$ for all $b \in \mathcal{B}_n$.

The idea of the algorithm for G_4, \dots, G_8

We have $|G_4| = 24$, $|G_5| = 72$, $|G_6| = 48$, $|G_7| = 144$, $|G_8| = 96$.

STEP 1: Let $n \in \{4, \dots, 8\}$. Take a basis \mathcal{B}_n for each $\mathcal{H}(G_n)$ and define a linear map τ on $\mathcal{H}(G_n)$ by setting $\tau(b) := \delta_{1b}$ for all $b \in \mathcal{B}_n$. We must have $1 \in \mathcal{B}_n$ and $\mathcal{B}_n = W$ when $\mathcal{H}(W)$ specialises to the group algebra of W .

The idea of the algorithm for G_4, \dots, G_8

We have $|G_4| = 24$, $|G_5| = 72$, $|G_6| = 48$, $|G_7| = 144$, $|G_8| = 96$.

STEP 1: Let $n \in \{4, \dots, 8\}$. Take a basis \mathcal{B}_n for each $\mathcal{H}(G_n)$ and define a linear map τ on $\mathcal{H}(G_n)$ by setting $\tau(b) := \delta_{1b}$ for all $b \in \mathcal{B}_n$. We must have $1 \in \mathcal{B}_n$ and $\mathcal{B}_n = W$ when $\mathcal{H}(W)$ specialises to the group algebra of W . By construction, \mathcal{B}_n satisfies the second condition of the BMM symmetrising trace conjecture.

The idea of the algorithm for G_4, \dots, G_8

We have $|G_4| = 24$, $|G_5| = 72$, $|G_6| = 48$, $|G_7| = 144$, $|G_8| = 96$.

STEP 1: Let $n \in \{4, \dots, 8\}$. Take a basis \mathcal{B}_n for each $\mathcal{H}(G_n)$ and define a linear map τ on $\mathcal{H}(G_n)$ by setting $\tau(b) := \delta_{1b}$ for all $b \in \mathcal{B}_n$. We must have $1 \in \mathcal{B}_n$ and $\mathcal{B}_n = W$ when $\mathcal{H}(W)$ specialises to the group algebra of W . By construction, \mathcal{B}_n satisfies the second condition of the BMM symmetrising trace conjecture.

If $h \in \mathcal{H}(G_n)$, then $\tau(h)$ is the coefficient of 1 when h is expressed as a linear combination of the elements of \mathcal{B}_n .

The idea of the algorithm for G_4, \dots, G_8

We have $|G_4| = 24$, $|G_5| = 72$, $|G_6| = 48$, $|G_7| = 144$, $|G_8| = 96$.

STEP 1: Let $n \in \{4, \dots, 8\}$. Take a basis \mathcal{B}_n for each $\mathcal{H}(G_n)$ and define a linear map τ on $\mathcal{H}(G_n)$ by setting $\tau(b) := \delta_{1b}$ for all $b \in \mathcal{B}_n$. We must have $1 \in \mathcal{B}_n$ and $\mathcal{B}_n = W$ when $\mathcal{H}(W)$ specialises to the group algebra of W . By construction, \mathcal{B}_n satisfies the second condition of the BMM symmetrising trace conjecture.

If $h \in \mathcal{H}(G_n)$, then $\tau(h)$ is the coefficient of 1 when h is expressed as a linear combination of the elements of \mathcal{B}_n .

STEP 2: Calculate the matrix $A = (\tau(b_i b_j))_{b_i, b_j \in \mathcal{B}_n}$.

The idea of the algorithm for G_4, \dots, G_8

We have $|G_4| = 24$, $|G_5| = 72$, $|G_6| = 48$, $|G_7| = 144$, $|G_8| = 96$.

STEP 1: Let $n \in \{4, \dots, 8\}$. Take a basis \mathcal{B}_n for each $\mathcal{H}(G_n)$ and define a linear map τ on $\mathcal{H}(G_n)$ by setting $\tau(b) := \delta_{1b}$ for all $b \in \mathcal{B}_n$. We must have $1 \in \mathcal{B}_n$ and $\mathcal{B}_n = W$ when $\mathcal{H}(W)$ specialises to the group algebra of W . By construction, \mathcal{B}_n satisfies the second condition of the BMM symmetrising trace conjecture.

If $h \in \mathcal{H}(G_n)$, then $\tau(h)$ is the coefficient of 1 when h is expressed as a linear combination of the elements of \mathcal{B}_n .

STEP 2: Calculate the matrix $A = (\tau(b_i b_j))_{b_i, b_j \in \mathcal{B}_n}$. Check whether A is symmetric and invertible over R_W .

The idea of the algorithm for G_4, \dots, G_8

We have $|G_4| = 24$, $|G_5| = 72$, $|G_6| = 48$, $|G_7| = 144$, $|G_8| = 96$.

STEP 1: Let $n \in \{4, \dots, 8\}$. Take a basis \mathcal{B}_n for each $\mathcal{H}(G_n)$ and define a linear map τ on $\mathcal{H}(G_n)$ by setting $\tau(b) := \delta_{1b}$ for all $b \in \mathcal{B}_n$. We must have $1 \in \mathcal{B}_n$ and $\mathcal{B}_n = W$ when $\mathcal{H}(W)$ specialises to the group algebra of W . By construction, \mathcal{B}_n satisfies the second condition of the BMM symmetrising trace conjecture.

If $h \in \mathcal{H}(G_n)$, then $\tau(h)$ is the coefficient of 1 when h is expressed as a linear combination of the elements of \mathcal{B}_n .

STEP 2: Calculate the matrix $A = (\tau(b_i b_j))_{b_i, b_j \in \mathcal{B}_n}$. Check whether A is symmetric and invertible over R_W . If yes, then τ satisfies the first condition of the BMM symmetrising trace conjecture.

The idea of the algorithm for G_4, \dots, G_8

We have $|G_4| = 24$, $|G_5| = 72$, $|G_6| = 48$, $|G_7| = 144$, $|G_8| = 96$.

STEP 1: Let $n \in \{4, \dots, 8\}$. Take a basis \mathcal{B}_n for each $\mathcal{H}(G_n)$ and define a linear map τ on $\mathcal{H}(G_n)$ by setting $\tau(b) := \delta_{1b}$ for all $b \in \mathcal{B}_n$. We must have $1 \in \mathcal{B}_n$ and $\mathcal{B}_n = W$ when $\mathcal{H}(W)$ specialises to the group algebra of W . By construction, \mathcal{B}_n satisfies the second condition of the BMM symmetrising trace conjecture.

If $h \in \mathcal{H}(G_n)$, then $\tau(h)$ is the coefficient of 1 when h is expressed as a linear combination of the elements of \mathcal{B}_n .

STEP 2: Calculate the matrix $A = (\tau(b_i b_j))_{b_i, b_j \in \mathcal{B}_n}$. Check whether A is symmetric and invertible over R_W . If yes, then τ satisfies the first condition of the BMM symmetrising trace conjecture.

In her proof of the BMR freeness conjecture, Chavli provided explicit bases for $\mathcal{H}(G_n)$ for $n = 4, \dots, 16$. However, note that not any basis will work for the proof of the BMM symmetrising trace conjecture!

The idea of the algorithm for G_4, \dots, G_8

We have $|G_4| = 24$, $|G_5| = 72$, $|G_6| = 48$, $|G_7| = 144$, $|G_8| = 96$.

STEP 1: Let $n \in \{4, \dots, 8\}$. Take a basis \mathcal{B}_n for each $\mathcal{H}(G_n)$ and define a linear map τ on $\mathcal{H}(G_n)$ by setting $\tau(b) := \delta_{1b}$ for all $b \in \mathcal{B}_n$. We must have $1 \in \mathcal{B}_n$ and $\mathcal{B}_n = W$ when $\mathcal{H}(W)$ specialises to the group algebra of W . By construction, \mathcal{B}_n satisfies the second condition of the BMM symmetrising trace conjecture.

If $h \in \mathcal{H}(G_n)$, then $\tau(h)$ is the coefficient of 1 when h is expressed as a linear combination of the elements of \mathcal{B}_n .

STEP 2: Calculate the matrix $A = (\tau(b_i b_j))_{b_i, b_j \in \mathcal{B}_n}$. Check whether A is symmetric and invertible over R_W . If yes, then τ satisfies the first condition of the BMM symmetrising trace conjecture.

In her proof of the BMR freeness conjecture, Chavli provided explicit bases for $\mathcal{H}(G_n)$ for $n = 4, \dots, 16$. However, note that not any basis will work for the proof of the BMM symmetrising trace conjecture!

If not, go back to STEP 1 and modify \mathcal{B}_n accordingly.

The idea of the algorithm for G_4, \dots, G_8

We have $|G_4| = 24$, $|G_5| = 72$, $|G_6| = 48$, $|G_7| = 144$, $|G_8| = 96$.

STEP 1: Let $n \in \{4, \dots, 8\}$. Take a basis \mathcal{B}_n for each $\mathcal{H}(G_n)$ and define a linear map τ on $\mathcal{H}(G_n)$ by setting $\tau(b) := \delta_{1b}$ for all $b \in \mathcal{B}_n$. We must have $1 \in \mathcal{B}_n$ and $\mathcal{B}_n = W$ when $\mathcal{H}(W)$ specialises to the group algebra of W . By construction, \mathcal{B}_n satisfies the second condition of the BMM symmetrising trace conjecture.

If $h \in \mathcal{H}(G_n)$, then $\tau(h)$ is the coefficient of 1 when h is expressed as a linear combination of the elements of \mathcal{B}_n .

STEP 2: Calculate the matrix $A = (\tau(b_i b_j))_{b_i, b_j \in \mathcal{B}_n}$. Check whether A is symmetric and invertible over R_W . If yes, then τ satisfies the first condition of the BMM symmetrising trace conjecture.

In her proof of the BMR freeness conjecture, Chavli provided explicit bases for $\mathcal{H}(G_n)$ for $n = 4, \dots, 16$. However, note that not any basis will work for the proof of the BMM symmetrising trace conjecture!

If not, go back to STEP 1 and modify \mathcal{B}_n accordingly.

STEP 3: Check that the extra third condition holds.

The C++ algorithm

For any $b_i, b_j \in \mathcal{B}_n$, our C++ program expresses $b_i b_j$ as a linear combination of the elements of \mathcal{B}_n .

The C++ algorithm

For any $b_i, b_j \in \mathcal{B}_n$, our C++ program expresses $b_i b_j$ as a linear combination of the elements of \mathcal{B}_n . Then $\tau(b_i b_j)$ is the coefficient of 1 in this linear combination.

The C++ algorithm

For any $b_i, b_j \in \mathcal{B}_n$, our C++ program expresses $b_i b_j$ as a linear combination of the elements of \mathcal{B}_n . Then $\tau(b_i b_j)$ is the coefficient of 1 in this linear combination.

The inputs of the algorithm are the following:

1. The basis \mathcal{B}_n .
2. The braid, positive and inverse Hecke relations (for example, $s^{-1} = c^{-1}s^2 - ac^{-1}s - bc^{-1}$).
3. The “special cases”: these are some equalities computed by hand which express a given element of $\mathcal{H}(G_n)$ as a sum of other elements in $\mathcal{H}(G_n)$.

The C++ algorithm

For any $b_i, b_j \in \mathcal{B}_n$, our C++ program expresses $b_i b_j$ as a linear combination of the elements of \mathcal{B}_n . Then $\tau(b_i b_j)$ is the coefficient of 1 in this linear combination.

The inputs of the algorithm are the following:

1. The basis \mathcal{B}_n .
2. The braid, positive and inverse Hecke relations (for example, $s^{-1} = c^{-1}s^2 - ac^{-1}s - bc^{-1}$).
3. The “special cases”: these are some equalities computed by hand which express a given element of $\mathcal{H}(G_n)$ as a sum of other elements in $\mathcal{H}(G_n)$.

The case of G_4

We have $\mathcal{B}_4 = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 1, s, s^2, t^2, t, t^2s, ts, t^2s^2, ts^2, st^2, st, st^2s, sts, st^2s^2, sts^2, \\ s^2t^2, s^2t, s^2t^2s, s^2ts, s^2t^2s^2, s^2ts^2, ststst, stststs, stststs^2 \end{array} \right\}$.

Running the C++ program takes about 1 hour on an Intel Core i5 CPU.

The SAGE algorithm

Our SAGE program produces the matrix A row by row, using the distinctive pattern of the basis \mathcal{B}_n .

The SAGE algorithm

Our SAGE program produces the matrix A row by row, using the distinctive pattern of the basis \mathcal{B}_n .

Set $z := z_{G_n}$.

The SAGE algorithm

Our SAGE program produces the matrix A row by row, using the distinctive pattern of the basis \mathcal{B}_n .

Set $z := z_{G_n}$. There exists a set \mathcal{E}_n with $1, s, t \in \mathcal{E}_n$ such that

$$\mathcal{B}_n = \{z^k e \mid e \in \mathcal{E}_n, k = 0, 1, \dots, |Z(G_n)| - 1\}.$$

The SAGE algorithm

Our SAGE program produces the matrix A row by row, using the distinctive pattern of the basis \mathcal{B}_n .

Set $z := z_{G_n}$. There exists a set \mathcal{E}_n with $1, s, t \in \mathcal{E}_n$ such that

$$\mathcal{B}_n = \{z^k e \mid e \in \mathcal{E}_n, k = 0, 1, \dots, |Z(G_n)| - 1\}.$$

We have $\mathcal{E}_n \leftrightarrow G_n/Z(G_n) \cong \begin{cases} \mathfrak{A}_4 & \text{for } n = 5, 6, 7; \\ \mathfrak{S}_4 & \text{for } n = 8. \end{cases}$

The SAGE algorithm

Our SAGE program produces the matrix A row by row, using the distinctive pattern of the basis \mathcal{B}_n .

Set $z := z_{G_n}$. There exists a set \mathcal{E}_n with $1, s, t \in \mathcal{E}_n$ such that

$$\mathcal{B}_n = \{z^k e \mid e \in \mathcal{E}_n, k = 0, 1, \dots, |Z(G_n)| - 1\}.$$

We have $\mathcal{E}_n \leftrightarrow G_n/Z(G_n) \cong \begin{cases} \mathfrak{A}_4 & \text{for } n = 5, 6, 7; \\ \mathfrak{S}_4 & \text{for } n = 8. \end{cases}$

The curious case of G_7

3 elements have to be replaced!

The SAGE algorithm

Our SAGE program produces the matrix A row by row, using the distinctive pattern of the basis \mathcal{B}_n .

Set $z := z_{G_n}$. There exists a set \mathcal{E}_n with $1, s, t \in \mathcal{E}_n$ such that

$$\mathcal{B}_n = \{z^k e \mid e \in \mathcal{E}_n, k = 0, 1, \dots, |Z(G_n)| - 1\}.$$

We have $\mathcal{E}_n \leftrightarrow G_n/Z(G_n) \cong \begin{cases} \mathfrak{A}_4 & \text{for } n = 5, 6, 7; \\ \mathfrak{S}_4 & \text{for } n = 8. \end{cases}$

The curious case of G_7

3 elements have to be replaced!

The inputs of the SAGE algorithm are the coefficients of the following elements when written as linear combinations of the elements of \mathcal{B}_n :

1. sb_j for all $b_j \in \mathcal{B}_n$.
2. tb_j for all $b_j \in \mathcal{B}_n$.
3. $z^{|Z(G_n)|} = z \cdot z^{|Z(G_n)|-1}$.

The case of G_5

- $\mathcal{H}(G_5) = \langle s, t \mid stst = tsts, s^3 = as^2 + bs + c, t^3 = dt^2 + et + f \rangle$.

The case of G_5

- $\mathcal{H}(G_5) = \langle s, t \mid stst = tsts, s^3 = as^2 + bs + c, t^3 = dt^2 + et + f \rangle$.
- $\mathcal{E}_5 = \{1, s, s^2, t, t^2, st, s^2t, st^2, s^2t^2, t^{-1}s, t^{-1}st, t^{-1}st^2\}$

The case of G_5

- $\mathcal{H}(G_5) = \langle s, t \mid stst = tsts, s^3 = as^2 + bs + c, t^3 = dt^2 + et + f \rangle$.
- $\mathcal{E}_5 = \{1, s, s^2, t, t^2, st, s^2t, st^2, s^2t^2, t^{-1}s, t^{-1}st, t^{-1}st^2\} = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{12}\}$.

The case of G_5

- $\mathcal{H}(G_5) = \langle s, t \mid stst = tsts, s^3 = as^2 + bs + c, t^3 = dt^2 + et + f \rangle$.
- $\mathcal{E}_5 = \{1, s, s^2, t, t^2, st, s^2t, st^2, s^2t^2, t^{-1}s, t^{-1}st, t^{-1}st^2\} = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{12}\}$.
- $z = stst$.

The case of G_5

- $\mathcal{H}(G_5) = \langle s, t \mid stst = tsts, s^3 = as^2 + bs + c, t^3 = dt^2 + et + f \rangle$.
- $\mathcal{E}_5 = \{1, s, s^2, t, t^2, st, s^2t, st^2, s^2t^2, t^{-1}s, t^{-1}st, t^{-1}st^2\} = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{12}\}$.
- $z = stst$.
- $\mathcal{B}_5 = \{z^k b_m \mid m = 1, 2, \dots, 12, k = 0, 1, \dots, 5\}$.

The case of G_5

- $\mathcal{H}(G_5) = \langle s, t \mid stst = tstst, s^3 = as^2 + bs + c, t^3 = dt^2 + et + f \rangle$.
- $\mathcal{E}_5 = \{1, s, s^2, t, t^2, st, s^2t, st^2, s^2t^2, t^{-1}s, t^{-1}st, t^{-1}st^2\} = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{12}\}$.
- $z = stst$.
- $\mathcal{B}_5 = \{z^k b_m \mid m = 1, 2, \dots, 12, k = 0, 1, \dots, 5\}$.

We set $b_{12k+m} := z^k b_m$.

The case of G_5

- $\mathcal{H}(G_5) = \langle s, t \mid stst = tsts, s^3 = as^2 + bs + c, t^3 = dt^2 + et + f \rangle$.
- $\mathcal{E}_5 = \{1, s, s^2, t, t^2, st, s^2t, st^2, s^2t^2, t^{-1}s, t^{-1}st, t^{-1}st^2\} = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{12}\}$.
- $z = stst$.
- $\mathcal{B}_5 = \{z^k b_m \mid m = 1, 2, \dots, 12, k = 0, 1, \dots, 5\}$.

We set $b_{12k+m} := z^k b_m$. We observe that we have:

The case of G_5

- $\mathcal{H}(G_5) = \langle s, t \mid stst = tsts, s^3 = as^2 + bs + c, t^3 = dt^2 + et + f \rangle$.
- $\mathcal{E}_5 = \{1, s, s^2, t, t^2, st, s^2t, st^2, s^2t^2, t^{-1}s, t^{-1}st, t^{-1}st^2\} = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{12}\}$.
- $z = stst$.
- $\mathcal{B}_5 = \{z^k b_m \mid m = 1, 2, \dots, 12, k = 0, 1, \dots, 5\}$.

We set $b_{12k+m} := z^k b_m$. We observe that we have:

$$b_{12k+2} = b_{12k+1} \cdot s, \quad b_{12k+8} = b_{12k+6} \cdot t,$$

$$b_{12k+3} = b_{12k+2} \cdot s, \quad b_{12k+9} = b_{12k+7} \cdot t,$$

$$b_{12k+4} = b_{12k+1} \cdot t, \quad b_{12k+10} = f^{-1}(b_{12k+5} - db_{12k+4} - eb_{12k+1}) \cdot s,$$

$$b_{12k+5} = b_{12k+4} \cdot t, \quad b_{12k+11} = b_{12k+10} \cdot t,$$

$$b_{12k+6} = b_{12k+2} \cdot t, \quad b_{12k+12} = b_{12k+11} \cdot t.$$

$$b_{12k+7} = b_{12k+3} \cdot t$$

The case of G_5

Let $j \in \{1, \dots, 72\}$. Using the C++ program, we have expressed sb_j , tb_j and $z^6 = b_{37}^2$ as linear combinations of the elements of \mathcal{B}_5 with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}[a, b, c^{\pm 1}, d, e, f^{\pm 1}]$.

The case of G_5

Let $j \in \{1, \dots, 72\}$. Using the C++ program, we have expressed sb_j , tb_j and $z^6 = b_{37}^2$ as linear combinations of the elements of \mathcal{B}_5 with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}[a, b, c^{\pm 1}, d, e, f^{\pm 1}]$.

Let $sb_j = \sum_l \lambda_{j,l}^s b_l$, $tb_j = \sum_l \lambda_{j,l}^t b_l$ and $z^6 = \sum_l \mu_l b_l$.

The case of G_5

Let $j \in \{1, \dots, 72\}$. Using the C++ program, we have expressed sb_j , tb_j and $z^6 = b_{37}^2$ as linear combinations of the elements of \mathcal{B}_5 with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}[a, b, c^{\pm 1}, d, e, f^{\pm 1}]$.

Let $sb_j = \sum_l \lambda_{j,l}^s b_l$, $tb_j = \sum_l \lambda_{j,l}^t b_l$ and $z^6 = \sum_l \mu_l b_l$.

Examples

- $\tau(b_{12k+4}b_j) = \tau(b_{12k+1}tb_j) = \sum_l \lambda_{j,l}^t \tau(b_{12k+1}b_l)$.

The case of G_5

Let $j \in \{1, \dots, 72\}$. Using the C++ program, we have expressed sb_j , tb_j and $z^6 = b_{37}^2$ as linear combinations of the elements of \mathcal{B}_5 with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}[a, b, c^{\pm 1}, d, e, f^{\pm 1}]$.

Let $sb_j = \sum_l \lambda_{j,l}^s b_l$, $tb_j = \sum_l \lambda_{j,l}^t b_l$ and $z^6 = \sum_l \mu_l b_l$.

Examples

- $\tau(b_{12k+4}b_j) = \tau(b_{12k+1}tb_j) = \sum_l \lambda_{j,l}^t \tau(b_{12k+1}b_l)$.
- $\tau(b_{12k+10}b_j) = f^{-1} \sum_l \lambda_{j,l}^s (\tau(b_{12k+5}b_l) - d\tau(b_{12k+4}b_l) - e\tau(b_{12k+1}b_l))$.

The case of G_5

Let $j \in \{1, \dots, 72\}$. Using the C++ program, we have expressed sb_j , tb_j and $z^6 = b_{37}^2$ as linear combinations of the elements of \mathcal{B}_5 with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}[a, b, c^{\pm 1}, d, e, f^{\pm 1}]$.

Let $sb_j = \sum_l \lambda_{j,l}^s b_l$, $tb_j = \sum_l \lambda_{j,l}^t b_l$ and $z^6 = \sum_l \mu_l b_l$.

Examples

- $\tau(b_{12k+4}b_j) = \tau(b_{12k+1}tb_j) = \sum_l \lambda_{j,l}^t \tau(b_{12k+1}b_l)$.
- $\tau(b_{12k+10}b_j) = f^{-1} \sum_l \lambda_{j,l}^s (\tau(b_{12k+5}b_l) - d\tau(b_{12k+4}b_l) - e\tau(b_{12k+1}b_l))$.

We now consider the case of $b_{12k+1} = z^k$, for $k \neq 0$. We distinguish two cases:

The case of G_5

Let $j \in \{1, \dots, 72\}$. Using the C++ program, we have expressed sb_j , tb_j and $z^6 = b_{37}^2$ as linear combinations of the elements of \mathcal{B}_5 with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}[a, b, c^{\pm 1}, d, e, f^{\pm 1}]$.

Let $sb_j = \sum_l \lambda_{j,l}^s b_l$, $tb_j = \sum_l \lambda_{j,l}^t b_l$ and $z^6 = \sum_l \mu_l b_l$.

Examples

- $\tau(b_{12k+4}b_j) = \tau(b_{12k+1}tb_j) = \sum_l \lambda_{j,l}^t \tau(b_{12k+1}b_l)$.
- $\tau(b_{12k+10}b_j) = f^{-1} \sum_l \lambda_{j,l}^s (\tau(b_{12k+5}b_l) - d\tau(b_{12k+4}b_l) - e\tau(b_{12k+1}b_l))$.

We now consider the case of $b_{12k+1} = z^k$, for $k \neq 0$. We distinguish two cases:

- If $1 \leq j \leq 12(6 - k)$, then we have $b_{12k+1}b_j \in \mathcal{B}_5$, whence $\tau(b_{12k+1}b_j) = 0$.

The case of G_5

Let $j \in \{1, \dots, 72\}$. Using the C++ program, we have expressed sb_j , tb_j and $z^6 = b_{37}^2$ as linear combinations of the elements of \mathcal{B}_5 with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}[a, b, c^{\pm 1}, d, e, f^{\pm 1}]$.

Let $sb_j = \sum_l \lambda_{j,l}^s b_l$, $tb_j = \sum_l \lambda_{j,l}^t b_l$ and $z^6 = \sum_l \mu_l b_l$.

Examples

- $\tau(b_{12k+4}b_j) = \tau(b_{12k+1}tb_j) = \sum_l \lambda_{j,l}^t \tau(b_{12k+1}b_l)$.
- $\tau(b_{12k+10}b_j) = f^{-1} \sum_l \lambda_{j,l}^s (\tau(b_{12k+5}b_l) - d\tau(b_{12k+4}b_l) - e\tau(b_{12k+1}b_l))$.

We now consider the case of $b_{12k+1} = z^k$, for $k \neq 0$. We distinguish two cases:

- If $1 \leq j \leq 12(6 - k)$, then we have $b_{12k+1}b_j \in \mathcal{B}_5$, whence $\tau(b_{12k+1}b_j) = 0$.
- If $12(6 - k) < j \leq 72$, then $b_{12k+1}b_j = z^k b_j = z^{k-6} b_j z^6 = b_{12k+j-72} \cdot z^6$.

The case of G_5

Let $j \in \{1, \dots, 72\}$. Using the C++ program, we have expressed sb_j , tb_j and $z^6 = b_{37}^2$ as linear combinations of the elements of \mathcal{B}_5 with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}[a, b, c^{\pm 1}, d, e, f^{\pm 1}]$.

Let $sb_j = \sum_l \lambda_{j,l}^s b_l$, $tb_j = \sum_l \lambda_{j,l}^t b_l$ and $z^6 = \sum_l \mu_l b_l$.

Examples

- $\tau(b_{12k+4}b_j) = \tau(b_{12k+1}tb_j) = \sum_l \lambda_{j,l}^t \tau(b_{12k+1}b_l)$.
- $\tau(b_{12k+10}b_j) = f^{-1} \sum_l \lambda_{j,l}^s (\tau(b_{12k+5}b_l) - d\tau(b_{12k+4}b_l) - e\tau(b_{12k+1}b_l))$.

We now consider the case of $b_{12k+1} = z^k$, for $k \neq 0$. We distinguish two cases:

- If $1 \leq j \leq 12(6 - k)$, then we have $b_{12k+1}b_j \in \mathcal{B}_5$, whence $\tau(b_{12k+1}b_j) = 0$.
- If $12(6 - k) < j \leq 72$, then $b_{12k+1}b_j = z^k b_j = z^{k-6} b_j z^6 = b_{12k+j-72} \cdot z^6$.

We get

$$\tau(b_{12k+1}b_j) = \tau(b_{12k+j-72} \cdot z^6) = \sum_l \mu_l \tau(b_{12k+j-72} b_l).$$

The GAP algorithm

Let W be a complex reflection group. Under the BMR freeness conjecture, Malle has shown that there exists a field K over which $\mathcal{H}(W)$ is split semisimple.

The GAP algorithm

Let W be a complex reflection group. Under the BMR freeness conjecture, Malle has shown that there exists a field K over which $\mathcal{H}(W)$ is split semisimple. Then

$$\tau = \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(K\mathcal{H}(W))} \frac{1}{s_\chi} \chi \quad (1)$$

where $s_\chi \in K$ denotes the **Schur element** of $K\mathcal{H}(W)$ associated with χ .

The GAP algorithm

Let W be a complex reflection group. Under the BMR freeness conjecture, Malle has shown that there exists a field K over which $\mathcal{H}(W)$ is split semisimple. Then

$$\tau = \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(K\mathcal{H}(W))} \frac{1}{s_\chi} \chi \quad (1)$$

where $s_\chi \in K$ denotes the **Schur element** of $K\mathcal{H}(W)$ associated with χ .

Schur elements have been completely determined by Malle for all non-real exceptional complex reflection groups.

The GAP algorithm

Let W be a complex reflection group. Under the BMR freeness conjecture, Malle has shown that there exists a field K over which $\mathcal{H}(W)$ is split semisimple. Then

$$\tau = \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(K\mathcal{H}(W))} \frac{1}{s_\chi} \chi \quad (1)$$

where $s_\chi \in K$ denotes the **Schur element** of $K\mathcal{H}(W)$ associated with χ .

Schur elements have been completely determined by Malle for all non-real exceptional complex reflection groups.

STEP 1: Define $\tilde{\tau}$ as the RHS of (1).

The GAP algorithm

Let W be a complex reflection group. Under the BMR freeness conjecture, Malle has shown that there exists a field K over which $\mathcal{H}(W)$ is split semisimple. Then

$$\tau = \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(K\mathcal{H}(W))} \frac{1}{s_\chi} \chi \quad (1)$$

where $s_\chi \in K$ denotes the **Schur element** of $K\mathcal{H}(W)$ associated with χ .

Schur elements have been completely determined by Malle for all non-real exceptional complex reflection groups.

STEP 1: Define $\tilde{\tau}$ as the RHS of (1).

STEP 2: Establish that $\tilde{\tau} = \tau$ by showing that $\tilde{\tau}(b) = \delta_{1b}$ for all $b \in \mathcal{B}_n$.

The GAP algorithm

Let W be a complex reflection group. Under the BMR freeness conjecture, Malle has shown that there exists a field K over which $\mathcal{H}(W)$ is split semisimple. Then

$$\tau = \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(K\mathcal{H}(W))} \frac{1}{s_\chi} \chi \quad (1)$$

where $s_\chi \in K$ denotes the **Schur element** of $K\mathcal{H}(W)$ associated with χ .

Schur elements have been completely determined by Malle for all non-real exceptional complex reflection groups.

STEP 1: Define $\tilde{\tau}$ as the RHS of (1).

STEP 2: Establish that $\tilde{\tau} = \tau$ by showing that $\tilde{\tau}(b) = \delta_{1b}$ for all $b \in \mathcal{B}_n$.

STEP 3: Calculate the entries of A using $\tilde{\tau}$. We already know that A is symmetric, so we only need to calculate the entries in and above the diagonal.

The GAP algorithm

Let W be a complex reflection group. Under the BMR freeness conjecture, Malle has shown that there exists a field K over which $\mathcal{H}(W)$ is split semisimple. Then

$$\tau = \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(K\mathcal{H}(W))} \frac{1}{s_\chi} \chi \quad (1)$$

where $s_\chi \in K$ denotes the **Schur element** of $K\mathcal{H}(W)$ associated with χ .

Schur elements have been completely determined by Malle for all non-real exceptional complex reflection groups.

STEP 1: Define $\tilde{\tau}$ as the RHS of (1).

STEP 2: Establish that $\tilde{\tau} = \tau$ by showing that $\tilde{\tau}(b) = \delta_{1b}$ for all $b \in \mathcal{B}_n$.

STEP 3: Calculate the entries of A using $\tilde{\tau}$. We already know that A is symmetric, so we only need to calculate the entries in and above the diagonal.

Our program worked for G_4 and G_6 . It produced A for G_8 , but could not calculate $\det(A)$. It could not even establish STEP 2 for G_5 and G_7 .

The extra condition

Malle and Michel have shown that, since

- 1 each element of \mathcal{B}_n corresponds to a distinct element of G_n
(that is, $\mathcal{B}_n = \{T_w \mid w \in G_n\}$),

The extra condition

Malle and Michel have shown that, since

- 1 each element of \mathcal{B}_n corresponds to a distinct element of G_n (that is, $\mathcal{B}_n = \{T_w \mid w \in G_n\}$),
- 2 $\tau(b) = \delta_{1b}$ for all $b \in \mathcal{B}_n$, and

The extra condition

Malle and Michel have shown that, since

- 1 each element of \mathcal{B}_n corresponds to a distinct element of G_n (that is, $\mathcal{B}_n = \{T_w \mid w \in G_n\}$),
- 2 $\tau(b) = \delta_{1b}$ for all $b \in \mathcal{B}_n$, and
- 3 \mathcal{B}_n is a basis of $\mathcal{H}(G_n)$ as an R_{G_n} -module,

The extra condition

Malle and Michel have shown that, since

- 1 each element of \mathcal{B}_n corresponds to a distinct element of G_n (that is, $\mathcal{B}_n = \{T_w \mid w \in G_n\}$),
- 2 $\tau(b) = \delta_{1b}$ for all $b \in \mathcal{B}_n$, and
- 3 \mathcal{B}_n is a basis of $\mathcal{H}(G_n)$ as an R_{G_n} -module,

the extra condition of the BMM symmetrising trace conjecture translates as:

$$\tau\left(z^{|Z(G_n)|} b^{-1}\right) = 0 \quad \text{for all } b \in \mathcal{B}_n \setminus \{1\}. \quad (2)$$

The extra condition

Malle and Michel have shown that, since

- 1 each element of \mathcal{B}_n corresponds to a distinct element of G_n (that is, $\mathcal{B}_n = \{T_w \mid w \in G_n\}$),
- 2 $\tau(b) = \delta_{1b}$ for all $b \in \mathcal{B}_n$, and
- 3 \mathcal{B}_n is a basis of $\mathcal{H}(G_n)$ as an R_{G_n} -module,

the extra condition of the BMM symmetrising trace conjecture translates as:

$$\tau\left(z^{|Z(G_n)|} b^{-1}\right) = 0 \quad \text{for all } b \in \mathcal{B}_n \setminus \{1\}. \quad (2)$$

This is equivalent to

$$\sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(K\mathcal{H}(G_n))} \frac{\omega_\chi(z^{|Z(G_n)|})}{s_\chi} \chi(b^{-1}) = 0 \quad \text{for all } b \in \mathcal{B}_n \setminus \{1\}. \quad (3)$$

The extra condition

Malle and Michel have shown that, since

- 1 each element of \mathcal{B}_n corresponds to a distinct element of G_n (that is, $\mathcal{B}_n = \{T_w \mid w \in G_n\}$),
- 2 $\tau(b) = \delta_{1b}$ for all $b \in \mathcal{B}_n$, and
- 3 \mathcal{B}_n is a basis of $\mathcal{H}(G_n)$ as an R_{G_n} -module,

the extra condition of the BMM symmetrising trace conjecture translates as:

$$\tau\left(z^{|Z(G_n)|} b^{-1}\right) = 0 \quad \text{for all } b \in \mathcal{B}_n \setminus \{1\}. \quad (2)$$

This is equivalent to

$$\sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(K\mathcal{H}(G_n))} \frac{\omega_\chi(z^{|Z(G_n)|})}{s_\chi} \chi(b^{-1}) = 0 \quad \text{for all } b \in \mathcal{B}_n \setminus \{1\}. \quad (3)$$

- We used GAP to prove Formula (3) for G_4 , G_6 and G_8 .

The extra condition

Malle and Michel have shown that, since

- 1 each element of \mathcal{B}_n corresponds to a distinct element of G_n (that is, $\mathcal{B}_n = \{T_w \mid w \in G_n\}$),
- 2 $\tau(b) = \delta_{1b}$ for all $b \in \mathcal{B}_n$, and
- 3 \mathcal{B}_n is a basis of $\mathcal{H}(G_n)$ as an R_{G_n} -module,

the extra condition of the BMM symmetrising trace conjecture translates as:

$$\tau\left(z^{|Z(G_n)|} b^{-1}\right) = 0 \quad \text{for all } b \in \mathcal{B}_n \setminus \{1\}. \quad (2)$$

This is equivalent to

$$\sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(K\mathcal{H}(G_n))} \frac{\omega_\chi(z^{|Z(G_n)|})}{s_\chi} \chi(b^{-1}) = 0 \quad \text{for all } b \in \mathcal{B}_n \setminus \{1\}. \quad (3)$$

- We used GAP to prove Formula (3) for G_4 , G_6 and G_8 .
- We directly proved Formula (2) for G_5 and G_7 , by expressing $\tau(z^{|Z(G_n)|} b^{-1})$ as a linear combination of entries of the matrix A .

The main results

Theorem (Boura–Chavli–C.–Karvounis)

Let $n \in \{4, \dots, 8\}$. The BMM symmetrising trace conjecture holds for G_n .

The main results

Theorem (Boura–Chavli–C.–Karvounis)

Let $n \in \{4, \dots, 8\}$. The BMM symmetrising trace conjecture holds for G_n .

Our C++ program has expressed sb_j and tb_j as linear combinations of the elements of \mathcal{B}_n , for all $b_j \in \mathcal{B}_n$

The main results

Theorem (Boura–Chavli–C.–Karvounis)

Let $n \in \{4, \dots, 8\}$. The BMM symmetrising trace conjecture holds for G_n .

Our C++ program has expressed sb_j and tb_j as linear combinations of the elements of \mathcal{B}_n , for all $b_j \in \mathcal{B}_n$ (in the case of G_7 , the product of the third generator with any b_j is deduced from the other two).

The main results

Theorem (Boura–Chavli–C.–Karvounis)

Let $n \in \{4, \dots, 8\}$. The BMM symmetrising trace conjecture holds for G_n .

Our C++ program has expressed sb_j and tb_j as linear combinations of the elements of \mathcal{B}_n , for all $b_j \in \mathcal{B}_n$ (in the case of G_7 , the product of the third generator with any b_j is deduced from the other two). This in fact allows us to express any product of the generators, and thus any element, of $\mathcal{H}(G_n)$ as a linear combination of the elements of \mathcal{B}_n .

The main results

Theorem (Boura–Chavli–C.–Karvounis)

Let $n \in \{4, \dots, 8\}$. The BMM symmetrising trace conjecture holds for G_n .

Our C++ program has expressed sb_j and tb_j as linear combinations of the elements of \mathcal{B}_n , for all $b_j \in \mathcal{B}_n$ (in the case of G_7 , the product of the third generator with any b_j is deduced from the other two). This in fact allows us to express any product of the generators, and thus any element, of $\mathcal{H}(G_n)$ as a linear combination of the elements of \mathcal{B}_n .

Theorem (Boura–Chavli–C.–Karvounis)

Let $n \in \{4, \dots, 8\}$. The set \mathcal{B}_n is a basis for $\mathcal{H}(G_n)$ as an R_{G_n} -module. In particular, the BMR freeness conjecture holds for G_n .