

# Towards an Exotic Robinson-Schensted Correspondence

(joint with V. Nandakumar and D. Rosso)

Neil Saunders

École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne

Representation Theory in Samos

4 July 2016



ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE  
FÉDÉRALE DE LAUSANNE

# Nilpotent Orbits in Type A

We always work over  $\mathbb{C}$ . The Nilpotent Cone for  $GL_n$  is:

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{gl}_n) := \{x \in \text{Mat}_n \mid x \text{ is nilpotent, i.e. all eigenvalues are } 0\},$$

where  $GL_n$  acts by conjugation:  $g \cdot x := gxg^{-1}$

## Theorem (Jordan Canonical Form)

$GL_n$ -orbits on  $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$  are classified by partitions of  $n$ . For  $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_\lambda$  consists of those  $x \in \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$  whose Jordan blocks have size  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots) = \lambda$ . Say  $x$  has *Jordan Type*  $\lambda$

The  $G$ -orbits stratify  $\mathcal{N}$  with the following closure ordering:

## Closure Orderings in Type A

We have  $\mathcal{N} = \sqcup_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n} \mathcal{O}_\lambda$  and

$$\mathcal{O}_\mu \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{O}_\lambda} \iff \mu \trianglelefteq \lambda \iff \begin{array}{rcl} \mu_1 & \leq & \lambda_1 \\ \mu_1 + \mu_2 & \leq & \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \vdots \end{array}$$

# Nilpotent Orbits and Weyl Group Representations

The symmetric group on  $n$ -letters,  $S_n$  is the **Weyl** group of  $GL_n$ .  
(In general  $W = N_G(T)/T$ , where  $T$  is a maximal torus).

We have the following bijections:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} GL_n \setminus \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{gl}_n) & \xleftrightarrow{\sim} & \mathcal{P}_n & \xleftrightarrow{\sim} & \text{Irreps of } S_n \\ GL_n \cdot x = \mathcal{O}_\lambda & \longleftrightarrow & \lambda & \longleftrightarrow & S^\lambda \\ & & & & \text{(Specht Module)} \end{array}$$

'Combinatorial' **Springer correspondence** in Type A

In general, we have an injection:

$$G \setminus \mathcal{N} \hookrightarrow \text{Irr}(W).$$

# Nilpotent Orbits in Types $B$ and $C$

The groups and respective nilpotent cones are:

$$SO_{2n+1} = \{g \in \text{Mat}_{2n+1} \mid \det(g) = 1, (gv, gw) = (v, w), \forall v, w\},$$

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}) = \{x \in \text{Mat}_{2n+1} \mid x \text{ nilpotent}, (xv, v) = 0, \forall v\},$$

where  $(,)$  is a symmetric non-degenerate bilinear form on  $\mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$ .

$$Sp_{2n} = \{g \in \text{Mat}_{2n} \mid \det(g) = 1, \langle gv, gw \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle, \forall v, w\},$$

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}) = \{x \in \text{Mat}_{2n} \mid x \text{ nilpotent}, \langle xv, w \rangle = \langle xw, v \rangle, \forall v, w\},$$

where  $\langle , \rangle$  is a symplectic non-degenerate bilinear form on  $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$ .

## Theorem (Wall, Gerstenhaber, Hesselink)

*Nilpotent orbits of  $SO_{2n+1}$  and  $Sp_{2n}$  are classified by Jordan Type:*

$$SO_{2n+1} \setminus \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \left\{ \lambda \vdash 2n+1 \mid \begin{array}{l} \text{even parts occur with} \\ \text{even multiplicity} \end{array} \right\},$$

$$Sp_{2n} \setminus \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \left\{ \lambda \vdash 2n \mid \begin{array}{l} \text{odd parts occur with} \\ \text{even multiplicity} \end{array} \right\}.$$

# Types $B$ and $C$

$SO_{2n+1}$  and  $Sp_{2n}$  share the same Weyl group:

$$W(B_n) = W(C_n) := \{\pm 1\} \wr S_n.$$

Irreducible representations of  $W(C_n)$  are classified by **bipartitions** of  $n$ :

$$\mathcal{Q}_n = \{\lambda := (\mu; \nu) : \mu, \nu \text{ partitions; } |\mu| + |\nu| = n\}.$$

## Theorem (Lusztig, Shoji)

*The nilpotent orbits in Types  $B$  and  $C$  correspond to the following irreducible representations:*

$$\begin{aligned} SO_{2n+1} \setminus \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}) &\longleftrightarrow \{(\mu; \nu) \mid \mu_i \geq \nu_i - 2, \nu_i \geq \mu_{i+1}\}, \\ Sp_{2n} \setminus \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}) &\longleftrightarrow \{(\mu; \nu) \mid \mu_i \geq \nu_i - 1, \nu_i \geq \mu_{i+1}\}. \end{aligned}$$

## Closure Orderings in Types $B$ and $C$

For  $(\rho, \sigma)$  and  $(\mu, \nu) \in \mathcal{Q}_n$ , we have:

$$\mathcal{O}_{(\rho, \sigma)} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{O}_{(\mu, \nu)}} \iff \begin{array}{rcl} \rho_1 & \leq & \mu_1 \\ \rho_1 + \sigma_1 & \leq & \mu_1 + \nu_1 \\ \rho_1 + \sigma_1 + \rho_2 & \leq & \mu_1 + \nu_1 + \mu_2 \\ \rho_1 + \sigma_1 + \rho_2 + \sigma_2 & \leq & \mu_1 + \nu_1 + \mu_2 + \nu_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{array}$$

# An Explicit $W$ -action

There is a  $W$ -action on the **Springer Sheaf**:

$$\underline{\text{Spr}} = \pi_* \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}}[\dim \mathcal{N}] \in \text{Perv}_G(\mathcal{N}, \mathbb{C}) \subset D_c^b(\mathcal{N})$$

where  $\pi : \tilde{\mathcal{N}} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  is the Springer resolution. By the decomposition theorem:

$$\pi_* \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}}[\dim \mathcal{N}] = \bigoplus_{\substack{\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{N}/G \\ \mathcal{E} \in \text{Loc}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{O})}} IC(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{E}) \otimes \text{Hom}_{\text{Perv}_G(\mathcal{N}, \mathbb{C})}(\underline{\text{Spr}}, IC(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{E}))$$

## Springer Miracles!

- 1  $\text{Hom}_{\text{Perv}_G(\mathcal{N}, \mathbb{C})}(\underline{\text{Spr}}, IC(\overline{\mathcal{O}}, \mathcal{E})) \cong H^{\text{top}}(\pi^{-1}(x))$ , for  $x \in \mathcal{O}$ .
- 2  $H^{\text{top}}(\pi^{-1}(x))$  carries a  $W$ -action.

Taking endomorphisms:

$$\text{End}(\pi_* \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}}[\dim \mathcal{N}]) = \bigoplus_{\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{N}/G, \mathcal{E} \in \text{Loc}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{O})} \text{End}(H^{\text{top}}(\pi^{-1}(x))) \cong \mathbb{C}W.$$

# A Word on Local Systems

- The local systems  $\mathcal{E} \in \text{Loc}(\mathcal{O})$  correspond to representations of the component group  $A(\mathcal{O}) = C_G(x)/C_G(x)^0$ , for  $x \in \mathcal{O}$ .
- In Type A,  $GL_n$  all centralisers are connected, so no non-trivial local systems appear in the decomposition.
- In Type C, some non-trivial local systems occur.
- In 2009, Kato define an **Exotic Nilpotent Cone** for which  $Sp_{2n}$  acts with connected stabilisers.



# Kato's Exotic Nilpotent Cone

Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the  $Sp_{2n}$ -invariant complement of  $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$  in  $\mathfrak{gl}_{2n}$ ; i.e.

$$\mathcal{S} = \{x \in \text{Mat}_{2n}(\mathbb{C}) \mid \langle xv, w \rangle = \langle v, xw \rangle, \forall v, w \in \mathbb{C}^{2n}\}.$$

Define  $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{S}) := \mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{gl}_{2n})$ .

Definition (Kato, 2009)

The **Exotic Nilpotent Cone** of Type C is

$$\mathfrak{N} = \mathbb{C}^{2n} \times \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{S}) = \{(v, x) \mid v \in \mathbb{C}^{2n}, x \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{S})\}.$$

The exotic nilpotent cone recovers the 'Springer Miracles':

Theorem (Kato, 2009)

- $\text{End}(\psi_* \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{N}}}) \cong CW(C_n)$ ;
- $Sp_{2n} \setminus \mathfrak{N} \longleftrightarrow \text{Irr}(W(C_n)) \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{Q}_n$ .

# $Sp_{2n}$ -orbits on the exotic nilpotent cone

Let  $\mathbb{O}_{(\mu,\nu)}$  be the  $Sp_{2n}$ -orbit corresponding to  $(\mu, \nu) \in \mathcal{Q}_n$ .

Theorem (Achar-Henderson, 2009)

For  $(\rho, \sigma)$  and  $(\mu, \nu) \in \mathcal{Q}_n$ , we have:

$$\mathbb{O}_{(\rho,\sigma)} \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{O}_{(\mu,\nu)}} \iff \begin{array}{rcl} \rho_1 & \leq & \mu_1 \\ \rho_1 + \sigma_1 & \leq & \mu_1 + \nu_1 \\ \rho_1 + \sigma_1 + \rho_2 & \leq & \mu_1 + \nu_1 + \mu_2 \\ \rho_1 + \sigma_1 + \rho_2 + \sigma_2 & \leq & \mu_1 + \nu_1 + \mu_2 + \nu_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{array}$$

This was prove using their work on the [enhanced nilpotent cone](#) for  $GL_{2n}; \mathbb{C}^{2n} \times \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{gl}_{2n})$

# Why does the Exotic Nilpotent Cone Exist?

- An accident of the root system of type C;
- In characteristic 2, the adjoint representation of  $Sp_{2n}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_2)$  is reducible with two constituents;
- the weights of these constituents are "short roots" for one and the "long roots" for the other;
- the short root constituent is  $\Lambda^2(\mathbb{F}_2^{2n})$ ;
- the "long root" constituent is a Frobenius twist of the natural module whose  $\mathbb{F}_2^{2n}$  weights are half the long roots.

In characteristic 0, the exotic nilpotent cone is the Hilbert nullcone of the representation  $\mathbb{C}^{2n} \oplus \Lambda^2(\mathbb{C}^{2n}) := \mathbb{V}$ .

Let  $B \subseteq G$  be a Borel subgroup. Let  $\mathfrak{n}$  be the nil-radical of  $\mathfrak{b} := \text{Lie}(B)$ . In general the Springer resolution has the form:

$$\pi : G \times_B \mathfrak{n} \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}; (g, x) \mapsto gxg^{-1}$$

where  $G \times_B \mathfrak{n} = (G \times \mathfrak{n}) / \{(g, x) \sim (gb^{-1}, bxb^{-1}), \forall b \in B\}$ . We have  $G \times_B \mathfrak{n}$  is smooth and  $\pi$  is proper, and general fibres

$\mathcal{F}_x := \pi^{-1}(x)$  have the form:

(in general) {Borel subalgebras:  $\mathfrak{b}' \subset \mathfrak{g} \mid x \in \mathfrak{b}'\} \subseteq G/B := \mathcal{B}$

(Type A)  $\{0 \subset V_1 \subset \dots \subset V_n = \mathbb{C}^n \mid \dim(V_i) = i, x(V_i) \subset V_{i-1}\}$

(Type C)  $\{0 \subset V_1 \subset \dots \subset V_{2n} = \mathbb{C}^{2n} \mid V_{2n-i}^\perp = V_i, x(V_i) \subset V_{i-1}\}$

In the exotic case, the resolution looks like:

$$\psi : G \times_B \mathbb{V}^{\geq 0} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{N}$$

with fibres above a point  $(v, x)$ :

$$\mathcal{C}_{(v,x)} := \{0 \subset V_1 \subset \dots \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n} \mid V_{2n-i}^\perp = V_i, v \in V_n, x(V_i) \subset V_{i-1}\}.$$

Let  $V = \mathbb{C}^n$ , fix  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots) \in \mathcal{P}_n$  and  $x \in \mathcal{N}$  of type  $\lambda$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}_x$  be the fibre of  $x$ . Recall that for  $S^\lambda$  a Specht module for  $S_n$ , the set

$$\text{Std}(\lambda) := \{\text{standard tableau of shape } \lambda\},$$

labels a basis for  $S^\lambda$ .

## Theorem (Spaltenstein, 1976)

*There is a map (defined inductively)*

$$\Theta : \mathcal{F}_x \longrightarrow \text{Std}(\lambda),$$

*which induces a bijection:*

$$\text{Irr}(\mathcal{F}_x) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Std}(\lambda).$$

For  $T \in \text{Std}(\lambda)$ , let  $\mathcal{F}_T := \Theta^{-1}(T)$

(induction shows that the  $\mathcal{F}_T$  are non-empty for all  $T \in \text{Std}(\lambda)$ ).

Therefore

$$\mathcal{F}_x = \bigsqcup_{T \in \text{Std}(\lambda)} \mathcal{F}_T.$$

## Theorem (Spaltenstein, 1976)

The  $\mathcal{F}_T$  are:

- (a) *locally closed in  $\mathcal{F}_x$ ;*
- (b) *are irreducible and all of the same dimension*

$$\dim(\mathcal{F}_T) = \sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{\lambda_i^{tr} (\lambda_i^{tr} - 1)}{2}.$$

# The Steinberg Variety: Type A

Let  $G = GL_n$ ,  $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$  and  $\mathcal{B} = G/B$  for  $B$  a Borel.

Define the **Steinberg variety** as

$$\mathcal{Z} = \{(x, F_\bullet, G_\bullet) \mid x \in \mathcal{N}, F_\bullet, G_\bullet \in \mathcal{F}_x\} \subseteq \mathcal{N} \times \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}.$$

It comes with two natural projections:

$$\mathcal{N} \xleftarrow{p_1} \mathcal{Z} \xrightarrow{p_2} \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B},$$

which gives two ways to parametrise its irreducible components:

- by irreducible components of  $\mathcal{F}_x$  (i.e. by  $\text{Std}(\lambda) \times \text{Std}(\lambda)$ ):  
Suppose  $S, T \in \text{Std}(\lambda)$ . Then a generic point  $(x, F_\bullet, G_\bullet) \in \mathcal{Z}_{(S,T)} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}$  has the property that  $\Theta(F_\bullet) = S$  and  $\Theta(G_\bullet) = T$ .
- by elements of  $S_n$  (or  $GL_n$ -orbits on  $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}$ ): Let  $\sigma \in S_n$ .  
Then a generic point  $(x', F'_\bullet, G'_\bullet) \in \mathcal{Z}_\sigma$  has the property that  $F'_\bullet = \sigma(G'_\bullet)$ .

# The Steinberg Variety: Type A

These two ways of parametrising irreducible components of  $\mathcal{Z}$  give rise to a bijection

$$S_n \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Std}(\lambda) \times \text{Std}(\lambda)$$

Theorem (Steinberg, 1988)

*This bijection is an occurrence of the [Robinson-Schensted Correspondence](#) for the symmetric group.*

## Our Question

How much of this carries through for the exotic nilpotent cone?

- What are the irreducible components of the exotic Springer fibres?
- Parametrise irreducible components of the 'exotic' Steinberg variety.



# Exotic Springer Fibres

Let  $(\nu, x)$  be a representative for the orbit  $\mathbb{O}_{(\mu, \nu)} \subseteq \mathfrak{N}$ ; we say that  $(\nu, x)$  has **Exotic type**  $\lambda := (\mu, \nu)$ ; write  $\text{eType}(\nu, x) = (\mu, \nu)$ .  
Let  $\mathcal{C}_{(\nu, x)}$  denote the fibre  $\psi^{-1}(\nu, x)$ . Here we want a bijection

$$\text{Irr}(\mathcal{C}_{(\nu, x)}) \longleftrightarrow \text{Std}(\mu, \nu).$$

There is a natural map:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : \mathcal{C}_{(\nu, x)} &\longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}_1 \times \mathcal{Q}_2 \times \dots \times \mathcal{Q}_{n-1} \times \mathcal{Q}_n \\ F_{\bullet} &\mapsto (\dots, \text{eType}(\nu + V_{n-i}, x|_{V_{n-i}^{\perp}/V_{n-i}})_{i=1}^{n-1}, \dots, (\mu, \nu)), \end{aligned}$$

where

- $(\nu + V_i, x|_{V_{n-i}^{\perp}/V_{n-i}})$  is representative of the  $Sp_{2(n-i)}$ -orbit in  $\mathfrak{N}_{n-i}$ ; and
- $\text{eType}(\nu + V_{n-i}, x|_{V_{n-i}^{\perp}/V_{n-i}}) \in \mathcal{Q}_i$ .

$$\text{im}(\Phi) = ??$$

Consider the set of nested sequences

$$\mathbb{T}_{(\mu, \nu)} := \left\{ (\mu_1, \nu_1) \preceq \dots \preceq (\mu_n, \nu_n) = (\mu, \nu) \mid \begin{array}{l} (\mu_i, \nu_i) \in \mathcal{Q}_i \\ \mu_{i-1} = \mu_i, \nu_{i-1} < \nu_i \text{ or vice versa} \end{array} \right\}$$

For

$$\Phi : \mathcal{C}_{(\nu, x)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}_1 \times \mathcal{Q}_2 \times \dots \times \mathcal{Q}_{n-1} \times \mathcal{Q}_n,$$

$\text{im}(\Phi)$  is not just the set of nested sequences, but....

## Theorem (Nandakumar-Rosso-S'15)

Let  $T_{(\mu, \nu)} \in \mathbb{T}_{(\mu, \nu)}$ . The preimage  $\Phi^{-1}(T_{(\mu, \nu)})$  is an irreducible sub-variety of  $\mathcal{C}_{(\nu, x)}$  of dimension

$$b(\mu, \nu) := |\nu| + 2 \sum_{i \geq 1} (i-1)(\mu_i + \nu_i).$$

# Exotic Springer Fibres

Not all points in the exotic Springer fibre  $\mathbb{C}_{(\mu,\nu)}$  map to nested sequences of bipartitions, but we have:

Lemma (Nadakumar-Rosso-S'15)

Let  $F_{\bullet} \in \mathcal{C}_{(v,x)}$  such that  $\Phi(F_{\bullet}) \notin \mathbb{T}_{(\mu,\nu)}$ . Then  $F_{\bullet} \in \overline{\Phi^{-1}(T_{(\mu,\nu)})}$  for some  $T_{(\mu,\nu)} \in \mathbb{T}_{(\mu,\nu)}$

Corollary

Taking closures of preimages of nested sequences, we have

$$\mathcal{C}_{(v,x)} = \bigcup_{T_{(\mu,\nu)} \in \mathbb{T}_{(\mu,\nu)}} \overline{\Phi^{-1}(T_{(\mu,\nu)})}.$$

## Theorem (Nadakumar-Rosso-S'15)

For  $T_{(\mu,\nu)} \in \mathbb{T}_{(\mu,\nu)}$ , the  $\Phi^{-1}(T_{(\mu,\nu)})$  are locally closed, irreducible sub-varieties of  $\mathcal{C}_{(\mu,\nu)}$  of equal dimension, and so

$$\text{Irr}(\mathcal{C}_{(\mu,\nu)}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Std}(\mu, \nu).$$

## Work in Progress

An exotic Robinson-Schensted-Correspondence for  $W(C_n)$ .

- If  $\lambda = (-; \nu)$ , then the algorithm is a 'transpose' of the RS-algorithm in type  $A$ .
- If  $\lambda = (\mu; -)$ , then algorithm is easy to describe.
- If  $\lambda = (\mu; \nu)$ , then it gets complicated!